

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,525	09/12/2000	Alan A. Siegel	P-5	6224
75	590 09/13/2002			
Lackenbach Siegel Marzullo			EXAMINER	
Aronson & Gre One Chase Roa	ď		DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE	
Scarsdale, NY	10583		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DATE M			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annihadian Na	Ammliann4/=\	 				
. 57	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11				
Office Action Summary	09/659,525	SIEGEL ET AL.					
· Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Cassandra Davis	3611	Irono				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shiet with the C	correspona nc add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p		e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 U.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13-17 and 20-29</u> is/are rejec	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,12 and 19</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been re	ceived.	,				
Attachment(s)	o priority under do o.o.o. 33 12	- anarot (21.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTC					

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," "The invention," etc.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the enclosure 515 as described in the specification on page 13, line 7. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate

Application/Control Number: 09/659,525 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

and

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 11, 14, 15-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Siegel, U. S. Patent 6,288,996. Siegel teaches a ticket/pass formed of a cut optical disk. The optical disk includes a data region that may be read by an optical disk reader. The ticket also includes an opening/eyelet 28 through which a lanyard may be attached. The ticket taught by Siegel may be cut in a variety of different shapes.

Art Unit: 3611

6. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 14-17, 21, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bydalek, U. S. Patent 5,959,964. Bydalek teaches a computer disk holder 31 having a tubular or eyelet member 25 for allowing a rod or wire to pass therethrough for securing the disk holder to various structures. (See column 3, line 47-51).

Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spector, U. S. Patent 5,090,561. Spector teaches a computer disk package comprising a compact disc 10, a card 14 having a circular well 13 and holes/eyelets 15 and 16, and a pouch 17 for receiving the disk and card combination. The pouch can having holes created therein to align with holes 15 and 16 to mount the package on hooks on a board or wall.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims4, 4, 12, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following U. S. Patents are cited to show means for hanging a disk: Crawford – 4,232,461 and Shimano – 5,479,796.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Johnson can be reached on 703-308-0558. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD September 8, 2002